Literatura citada

- AGUNDIS, M. Periodos de competencia entre el frijol (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) y las malezas. Agricultura Técnica en México 2(2):87-90. 1968.
- BLEASDALE, J. Studies on plant competition.
 In: Symposium British Ecology Society
 Oxford Biology of weeds. pp. 133-142 1962.
- 3. CERNA, B. Determinación del período crítico de competencia de las malezas en el cultivo de tomate (*Lycopersicon esculentum* 'Marglobe') en 1978. Avances en Investigación 9(1-2): 51-57, 1979.
- LABRADA, R. y GARCIA, F. Periodo crítico de competencia de malas hierbas en frijol (*Phaseo-lus vulgaris* L.) Agrotecnia de Cuba 10(1):67-72, 1978.
- KASASIAN, L. y SEEYAVE, J. Critical periods for weed competition. PANS 15:208-212. 1969.
- NIETO, J. BRONDO, M. A., y GONZALEZ, J. T. Critical periods of the crop growth cycle for competition from weeds. PANS 14:159-166. 1968.

Influence of weather on pod yield and growth attributes in bunch groundnut.

Resumen. Este experimento se realizó en la Estación Experimental Agrícola Bhavanisagar. Tamil Nadu, Sur de la India, durante cuatro estaciones consecutivas desde 1976 hasta 1978. Se estudió el efecto del clima sobre el crecimiento y rendimiento de maní bajo irrigación. La mayor humedad relativa durante el monsón, favoreció el crecimiento y la altura de las plantas. La duración solar no afectó la producción de flores. El mayor rendimiento de vainas durante el verano se atribuye a la alta temperatura, bajo humedad relativa, poca precipitación y elevada radiación solar en especial durante el período de lienado de la vaina.

Climatic components, especially temperature and rainfall, exercise a profound influence on crop

growth and yield (10) Among eight field crops studied, groundnut was the least vulnerable to fluctuations in weather (6), virtually no association could be established between monthly precipitation and total and groundnut yields for thirteen years (4). Low temperature depresses flower and peg formation (5), but according to Wood (11), high temperature is deleterious to pod formation. In view of these contradictory reports, a study was instituted to alucidate the effect of weather on groundnut yield and growth and the results are reported herein.

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted at the Agricultural Research Station, Bhavanisagar, Tamil Nadu on a red sandy loam soil for four consecutive seasons comprising two each of monsoon and summer during 1976-1978 in a split plot design with plant density $(29.6 \text{ and } 44.4/\text{m}^2)$ and phosphorus levels (0, 40 and80 kg/ha) in the main plot and herbicides (alachlor, nitrofen, penoxalin, hand weeding) in the sub-plot Seeds of POL 2 were dibbled in plots measuring 3.6 x 3.6 m. A basal dose of 20 kg N, 40 kg P₂O₅ and 60 kg K₂0 per ha was incorporated in the soil at the time of sowing. Besides total number of flowers produced at maturity the following growth and yield attributes were recorded on five random plants: (a) plant height (b) dry matter production (DMP) (c) uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash (d) number of mature pods/plant (e) 100 kernel weight. Pod yield per plot was recorded and expressed as kg/ha after moisture correlations.

Weather parameters like minimum and maximum temperature, rainfall, sunshine hours and relative humidity were recorded twice daily in conformity with India Meteorological Department Specifications for the reproductive phase of the crop.

Results and discussion

Data on pod yield and growth attributes are presented in Table 1. Weather parameters for the flowering and pod formation stages are given in Table 2.

Plant height was consistently higher during the monsoon than in the summer season. The increased height during monsoon is attributed to higher relative humidity (RH) during the season since according to Fortanier (3), with increasing RH, vegetative growth also registers an increment. Dry weight of shoot also was comparatively more in monsoon, lending support to this relationship.

Flowering in plants with less photoperiods is less than in those with high photoperiods (1). In the

Table 1. Growth attributes and pod yield in 'POL 2' during four seasons.

Growth attributes and pod yield	Mon	soon	Summer		
	1976	1977	1977	1978	
Plant height (cm)	49.1	48.5	42.7	42.9	
No. of flowers/plant	45.8	55.3	52.8	54.2	
Dry weight of shoot (kg/ha)	4027	4191	3657	4170	
N uptake (kg/ha)	160.1	163.1	168.9	183.9	
P uptake (kg/ha)	8.2	8.4	8.6	9.2	
K uptake (kg/ha)	84.1	859	89.1	96.9	
No. of mature pods/plant	20.3	20.8	22.3	21.9	
100 kernel weight (g)	28.7	28.4	33.0	31.4	
Pod yield (kg/ha)	2371	2330	2930	3003	

Table 2. Weather parameters during flowering and pod filling phases during four seasons.

Weather Parameters	Flowering phase				Pod-filling phase			
	Monsoon		Summer		Monsoon		Summer	
	1976	1977	1977	1978	1976	1977	1977	1978
Maximum temperature ° C Mean Range	33.6 30.7–34.8	30.5 28.6-32.4	32.4 31.3–33.5	31.8 31.0-32.0	30.3 28.7-31.5	29.4 28.2–30.3	35.3 34.4–36.0	36.2 35.1-37.0
Minimum temperature ° C Mean Range	235 22.9-24.5	23.7 23.4-24.0	21.7 19.2–23.6	21.2 19.8–22.8	21.7 21.0–22.1	20.5 18.6-22.1	25.2 14.2–26.0	24.5 24.1–24.0
Rainfall (mm) Precipitation Rainy days	81.0 10	329.8 27	49.6 1	32.6 1	336.8 11	76.2 6	42.4 4	72.0 4
Sunshine hours Daily mean Total	7.0 353	6.6 328	9.2 459	8.7 437	5.6 225	7.6 302	7.9 314	9.0 361
Relative humidity % Morning 0722 hrs Evening 1422 hrs	77.6 46.4	91.6 63.2	86.0 38.0	93.0 55.0	91.0 60.0	90.3 56.3	81.3 45 3	81.0 40.5

present investigation however, though sunshine hours were markedly less in monsoon than in summer, no appreciable variation in flower production was discernible between the two seasons. Thus, light quantity appears to have no bearing on flower production. Similar finding has earlier been documented (9).

Summer recorded more pod yield than monsoon. The weather factors that were instrumental for this enhanced yield are (i) high temperature (ii) low RH (iii) low rainfail and (iv) high solar radiation during the pod filling phase.

Under low temperature, flowers formed do not develop pegs (5). This is borne out in the present

study, where a parallelism is evident between temperature and pod number. Thus monsoon which gave low pod number was characterised by low night temperature during the pod formation stage. This accounts for the low pod yield. A high RH is accompanied by increased vegetative growth (3), especially during the monsoon season.

Proliferation of vegetative growth leads to depletion of carbohydrates by their utilisation for synthesis of organic nitrogen (7); this explains the poor kernel weight in monsoon which had depressed pod yield. Pod yield was found to be a function of the radiation received during the ripening period (8). In the present investigation sunshine hours were more in

summer probably contributing to the higher pod yield.

It is seen that in monsoon 1977 precipitation during flowering phase was as high as 329.8 mm, the corresponding figure for summer was only 50 mm. Similarly the rainfall during monsoon 1976 was nearly five times as much as in summer. This high precipitation might have considerably lowered the nutrient status of the soil and this is reflected in the comparatively low plant uptake of nutrients. The high rainfall might have also interfered with the development of flowers into pegs by washing of the pollen (2).

Summary

An experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Bhavanisagar, Tamil Nadu, South India, for four consecutive seasons during 1976-78 to assess the effect of weather on growth and yield of bunch groundnut under irrigated conditions. Higher relative humidity during monsoon season enhanced the plant height and vegetative growth. Sunshine hours had no bearing on flower production. Increased pod yield in summer season was attributed to high temperature, low relative humidity, low rainfall and high solar radiation that prevailed during pod filling phase of the crop.

Acknowledgement

The senior author is indebted to the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University for having permitted him to prosecute the Doctoral programme, of which this study forms a part as a part-time scholar.

April 10, 1981

R. KULANDAIVELU* Y. B. MORACHAN*

Literature cited

- ALEGRE, G. A contribution to the study of photoperiodism in groundnuts in relation to temperature. Field Crop Abstracts 11:1085, 1957.
- DUNLAP, A.A. Fruiting and shedding of cotton in relation to light and other limiting factors. Texas Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin No. 677, ppl-104, 1945.
- 3. FORTANIER, E.J., De Beinvloeding van de Bloci By Arachis hypogaea Mededelingen Land Wageningen, 57:1-116, 1957.
- 4. HSI, D.C.M. Effects of temperature and precipitation on yields and hull discoloration of Valentia peanuts. Research Report of the Agricultural Experiment Station, University of New Mexico, 1977. No. 353.
- 5. JACOBS, W.P. The growth of peanut at various diurnal and nocturnal temperatures. Science, 114:205-206, 1951.
- Mc CLOUD, D.S. Florida field crop yield trends with a changing climate. Proceedings of Soil and Crop Science Society of Florida 36:200-204, 1977.
- MURATA, Y. Physiological response to nitrogen in plants in Physiological aspects of crop yield. J.D. EASTIN (ed). American Society of Agronomy and Crop Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin, USA. p. 235-259, 1969.
- 8. PALLAS, J.E. and SAMISH, Y.B. Photosynthetic response of peanut. Crop Science 14:478, 1974.
- PREVOT, P. and OLLAGNIER. Diagnostic foliaire du palmier de huile et de l'arachide. Plant Analysis and Fertiliser Problems IRHO Paris: 239-260, 1952.
- SIKKA, M.S. and DATUR, R.H. Cotton in India. Indian Central Cotton Committee. 40-59, 1960.
- 11. WOOD, I.M.W. The effect of temperature at early flowering on the growth and development of peanuts. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research, 19:241-251, 1968.

Associate Professor. Professor and Head. Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-3.